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AIM
The purpose of this research is to identify the main sources of bias and misclassification in the completion of the cause of death section on death certificates.

BACKGROUND

Inaccurate Diagnosis:
A study comparing true death certificates with clinical summaries from medical records found that 51% of the death certificates had major errors.

Limited Quality:
Another study found that 45% of cancer death certificates were of limited quality with nonspecific causes of death or only one nonterminal cause of death.

Consequences for Cancer Research:
A 2011 study found that inaccurate reporting of deaths from rectal cancer significantly increased the 5-year cause-specific survival rate for the cancer.

METHODS

• Focus Groups: Four 60-minute long groups, each with 4-8 local providers
  o Residents, attendings, and nurse practitioners
  o Providers from hospital medicine, palliative care, and community practices
• Transcription: Each group was recorded and transcribed in full
• Analysis: Transcripts were analyzed with Constant Comparison Analysis, a research method developed by Glaser and Strauss to group data into units and categories which are then used to identify larger themes

RESULTS: MAIN THEMES

Challenges providers face when completing death certificates

Procedural Challenges
Changes in technology
Restrictive form
Variation in training
Lack of Feedback

Clinical uncertainty
Gaps in patient care
Unexpected deaths

Provider approaches to determining the cause of death

Broadest Diagnosis
Cardiac arrest
Respiratory failure
Hypoxic brain death

Clinical judgement on a specific diagnosis
Demographics
Medical record, PCP

Immediate cause of death
Cancer can be the immediate cause

Heightened documentation requirements

"If you have someone who has had cancer over a long period of time with many changes and recurrences the form on the death certificate is not conducive to listing those out in a meaningful way"

"Sometimes the cause of death is ambiguous because the patient is comfort measures only"

"I was always taught that people don’t die of cancer, there is something that causes the eventual organ failure and that cancer is a contributing factor"

"For us to include cancer as a cause of death on the death certificate we would want to have a tissue diagnosis or a radiological diagnosis"

CONCLUSIONS
Considering the specific challenges providers face in the completion of death certificates identified through thematic analysis of focus groups, there are two major areas for intervention:

1. Training and regular feedback to ensure more consistency in the data obtained from death certificates
   • Electronic forms allow for implementation of more strict standards as well as immediate feedback
2. Careful data-centered approach to the cause of death, especially in patients with cancer
   • The data-centered approach many oncologists and palliative care physicians take to completing the death certificate is an excellent model for all providers

NEXT STEPS

Additional Focus Group with individuals from the New Hampshire State Cancer Registry, the state medical examiners office and staff at Vital Records

Work with the Geisel School of Medicine and residency programs at DHMC to pilot training materials centered around completing death certificates accurately

Share our data with Vital Records to assist in their creation and implementation of a new app for completing death certificates in New Hampshire
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